# ORIGINAL PAPER

# Calcareous amendments to soils to eradicate Tuber brumale from T. melanosporum cultivations: a multivariate statistical approach

Inmaculada Valverde-Asenjo · Luis G. García-Montero · Asunción Ouintana · Javier Velázquez

Received: 2 October 2008 /Accepted: 22 December 2008 / Published online: 22 January 2009  $\oslash$  Springer-Verlag 2009

Abstract Calcareous amendments are being used in Tuber melanosporum truffle plantations in attempts to eradicate Tuber brumale. However, there are no studies available which provide soil analysis and statistical data on this topic. We studied 77 soil samples to compare the values for carbonates, pH and total organic carbon in T. brumale truffières with the values for T. melanosporum truffières on contaminated farms and in natural areas. Statistical analyses indicate that the concentrations of active carbonate and total carbonate in the soil are significantly higher in T. brumale truffières than in T. melanosporum truffières, but that there are no significant differences in pH and total organic carbon. We conclude that liming would not suppress T. brumale ectomycorrhizas in contaminated T. melanosporum

I. Valverde-Asenjo

Department Soil Science, University Complutense of Madrid, Ciudad Universitaria s/n, Madrid 28040, Spain

L. G. García-Montero (*\**) Department of Forest Engineering, U.D. Operaciones Básicas, Technical University of Madrid (UPM), E.T.S. Ingenieros de Montes, Ciudad Universitaria s/n, Madrid 28040, Spain e-mail: luisgonzaga.garcia@upm.es

A. Quintana Agriculture, Research and Technology IKT S.A., Granja Modelo s/n, 01192 Arkaute-Alava, Spain

#### J. Velázquez

Department of Projects and Rural Planning, Technical University of Madrid (UPM), E.T.S. Ingenieros de Montes, Ciudad Universitaria s/n, Madrid 28040, Spain

farms, and calcareous amendments do not therefore seem be a means of eradicating T. brumale in these farms.

Keywords Ectomycorrhizae . Liming . Truffle culture . Tuber brumale . Tuber melanosporum

# Introduction

Truffles are a highly profitable cash crop growing in the forests of many Mediterranean regions, and the cultivation of Tuber melanosporum Vittad. (Périgord black truffle) has spread throughout many countries in recent decades. The ectomycorrhizas of other species such as Tuber brumale Vittad. contaminate T. melanosporum brûlés (zones around the host trees free of vegetation) reduce their carpophore production and pose formidable problems for those trying to optimise T. melanosporum cultivation (Chevalier and Frochot [1997;](#page-6-0) Callot [1999;](#page-6-0) Lefevre and Hall [2001](#page-6-0); Riousset et al. [2001](#page-6-0); Olivier et al. [2002](#page-6-0); Ricard [2003;](#page-6-0) Sourzat [2005\)](#page-6-0).

It is not known how T. melanosporum and T. brumale interact with each other in T. melanosporum brûlés and the biological and physical–chemical properties of soil. Experiments have been proposed to study this in planted truffières (the truffle-producing area; Mamoun and Olivier [1993a](#page-6-0), [b](#page-6-0)) using molecular techniques to detect their mycorrhizas (Rubini et al. [1998](#page-6-0); Paolocci et al. [1999;](#page-6-0) Giomaro et al. [2002](#page-6-0); Douet et al. [2004](#page-6-0)). Other researchers have underlined the importance of conducting studies on the T. melanosporum brûlé to explain hydric behaviour and the dissolution and precipitation processes of carbonates (Callot [1999;](#page-6-0) Ricard [2003;](#page-6-0) Granetti et al. [2005](#page-6-0); Jaillard et al. [2007\)](#page-6-0).

A simultaneous production of carpophores in various Tuber species can be observed within the same truffière. Callot ([1999\)](#page-6-0), Ricard ([2003\)](#page-6-0) and Granetti et al. ([2005\)](#page-6-0) indicate that there is a lack of knowledge as to how the biological and physical–chemical properties of soil influence the development of T. melanosporum ectomycorrhizas and their interactions with other Tuber species.

These authors and Jaillard et al. ([2007](#page-6-0)) explain that T. melanosporum is strictly calcicolous and that their ectomycorrhizas are closely linked to calcium carbonate. They underlined the importance of conducting an overall study of soil brûlé profiles to explain hydric behaviour and the dissolution and precipitation processes of carbonates. Some statistical studies have also shown that a high concentration of active carbonate (calcium carbonate extractable with ammonium oxalate; smaller than 50 μm in size) is responsible for up to 43% of the variance in T. melanosporum carpophore production; this accounts for up to 51% of the variance in brûlé sizes and is a major factor in the fruiting and aggressiveness of T. melanosporum versus Tuber aestivum Vittad., T. mesentericum Vittad. and Tuber rufum Pico ex Fries (García-Montero et al. [2007a](#page-6-0), [b](#page-6-0), [2008a,](#page-6-0) [b](#page-6-0)).

Riousset et al. ([2001](#page-6-0)) and García-Montero et al. (submitted) outlined experiments in France and Spain where calcium carbonate was applied to T. melanosporum soils in attempts to favour *T. melanosporum* and deter *T.* brumale, but reported that these were unsuccessful. Consequently, we carried out a study to determine if there are differences in pH, carbonate fractions and abundance of

Table 1 Study area characteristics

total organic carbon (TOC) in soils occupied only by T. brumale or T. melanosporum or where the two species were present together and from this infer whether calcareous amendments to soils to eradicate T. brumale had a sound scientific basis.

#### Materials and methods

### Case study areas

The study areas were located in the mountainous regions of Central Spain in supra-Mediterranean bioclimatic belts, with predominantly Jurassic and Cretaceous limestones and dolomites, and the soils lithic and rendzic leptosols. Seventy-seven soil samples were collected from T. brumale and T. melanosporum truffières from two planted truffières and two natural truffieres in regions with and without a natural presence of T. brumale.

Table 1 summarises the environmental characteristics of study areas. In Sarrión (Teruel), T. melanosporum plantation is 15 years old and has 50% productive T. melanosporum truffières (>500 g/year) and 20% contaminated truffières that produce more than 500 g/year of T. brumale carpophores. In Campezo (Alava), T. melanosporum plantation is 14 years old and has 60% productive T. melanosporum truffières ( $>500$  g/year) and 20% contami-



Altitude in metres. Vegetation = geobotanical classification type according to Rivas-Martínez [\(1987](#page-6-0))

P average annual precipitation (in mm), T average annual temperature (in  $^{\circ}$ C)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>nated truffières that produce more that 300 g/year of T. brumale carpophores.

### Soil analysis

The soil samples were taken according to FAO ([1990\)](#page-6-0) recommendations from inside the holes that the collectors made to extract the carpophores in truffières with a significant production  $($ >300 g/year). Only the first 30 cm of each soil profile was studied because T. melanosporum usually bears fruit in this range (Verlhac et al. [1990](#page-6-0)). The soils were sampled always using the same spade (20-cm blade) and a rule. These samples included a mixture of 1,000 g of the soil surface horizons that have not been differentiated, as truffières contain a constant mixture of horizons due to the continuous digging that the harvesters, their dogs and wildlife engage in to extract the carpophores.

The following soil determinations were made: pH in water, total organic carbon and total carbonate (equivalent calcium carbonate: Marañes et al. [1994](#page-6-0); ISRIC [1995\)](#page-6-0) following the methods of the ISRIC [\(1995](#page-6-0)); active carbonate (calcium carbonate extractable with ammonium oxalate) was determined according to AFNOR ([1982\)](#page-6-0).

We have also used some additional data from 20 T. melanosporum soil samples from two previous works (García-Montero et al. [2006,](#page-6-0) [2008a](#page-6-0)).

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of the data was carried out using the Statistica Program v. 6 (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA, 1999). Before the analysis, the distributions of the variables were adjusted to comply with the prerequisites of the parametric statistical analysis. These transformations were selected using the Box and Cox ([1964](#page-6-0)) tests. Normality was checked using the Shapiro–Wilks and Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests, and homogeneity of variances was verified by the Levene test. The soil data obtained in natural areas did not follow a normal distribution, and therefore, non-parametric tests were applied to these variables.

Principal components analyses (PCA) were done with the soil variables studied in contaminated plantations in order to analyse the statistical patterns of the overall soil properties regarding the fruiting of T. brumale versus T. melanosporum carpophores in truffières of contaminated plantations. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was performed to determine whether there were any significant differences between the variables for the soils of T. brumale versus T. melanosporum in truffières of contaminated plantations. The non-parametric Mann–Whitney  $U$  test was applied to analyse the statistical patterns of the soil properties of T. brumale truffières versus T. melanosporum truffières in natural areas.

Table 2 Analytical results of 20 soils located inside burns with T. brumale production in contaminated T. melanosporum plantations (active carbonate, total carbonate and TOC expressed in  $g \text{ kg}^{-1}$ )

| No.            | Province | $pH_{H2O}$ | Active<br>carbonate | Total<br>carbonate | <b>TOC</b> |  |
|----------------|----------|------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------|--|
| 1              | Teruel   | 8.80       | 134.53              | 342.50             | 20.66      |  |
| $\overline{2}$ | Teruel   | 8.14       | 182.66              | 502.50             | 18.28      |  |
| 3              | Teruel   | 8.23       | 92.66               | 227.50             | 23.87      |  |
| $\overline{4}$ | Teruel   | 8.23       | 141.67              | 447.50             | 19.72      |  |
| 5              | Teruel   | 8.24       | 128.54              | 472.50             | 16.45      |  |
| 6              | Teruel   | 8.32       | 127.66              | 307.50             | 24.03      |  |
| 7              | Teruel   | 8.24       | 173.91              | 442.50             | 21.19      |  |
| 8              | Teruel   | 8.21       | 172.66              | 422.50             | 18.83      |  |
| 9              | Teruel   | 8.31       | 158.91              | 397.50             | 20.00      |  |
| 10             | Teruel   | 7.85       | 162.50              | 412.59             | 23.80      |  |
| 11             | Teruel   | 7.87       | 173.13              | 457.19             | 17.30      |  |
| 12             | Teruel   | 7.93       | 150.63              | 393.10             | 22.08      |  |
| 13             | Teruel   | 8.18       | 155.63              | 395.57             | 21.87      |  |
| 14             | Teruel   | 8.17       | 137.50              | 371.13             | 19.04      |  |
| 15             | Teruel   | 7.90       | 78.75               | 273.27             | 33.08      |  |
| 16             | Alava    | 8.06       | 160.16              | 422.50             | 19.97      |  |
| 17             | Alava    | 7.92       | 126.22              | 472.50             | 19.60      |  |
| 18             | Alava    | 8.16       | 87.16               | 312.50             | 20.37      |  |
| 19             | Alava    | 8.15       | 133.91              | 537.50             | 8.39       |  |
| 20             | Alava    | 8.24       | 132.66              | 492.50             | 10.54      |  |

Table 3 Analytical results of 20 soils located inside burns with T. melanosporum production in contaminated T. melanosporum plantations (active carbonate, total carbonate and TOC expressed in  $g kg^{-1}$ )

| No. | Province | pH <sub>H2O</sub> | Active<br>carbonate | Total<br>carbonate | <b>TOC</b> |  |
|-----|----------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------|--|
| 21  | Teruel   | 8.34              | 126.41              | 362.50             | 21.83      |  |
| 22  | Teruel   | 8.18              | 156.41              | 492.50             | 21.20      |  |
| 23  | Teruel   | 7.99              | 153.75              | 311.07             | 21.46      |  |
| 24  | Teruel   | 7.85              | 68.75               | 226.33             | 33.99      |  |
| 25  | Teruel   | 7.98              | 78.75               | 207.76             | 16.29      |  |
| 26  | Teruel   | 8.06              | 65.00               | 241.43             | 14.83      |  |
| 27  | Teruel   | 8.10              | 66.88               | 251.38             | 14.96      |  |
| 28  | Teruel   | 8.09              | 47.50               | 55.31              | 15.11      |  |
| 29  | Teruel   | 8.05              | 105.00              | 162.63             | 15.14      |  |
| 30  | Teruel   | 8.12              | 135.00              | 320.04             | 22.07      |  |
| 31  | Teruel   | 8.01              | 123.13              | 323.78             | 28.39      |  |
| 32  | Teruel   | 8.12              | 150.63              | 391.49             | 25.93      |  |
| 33  | Teruel   | 8.05              | 140.00              | 453.83             | 24.68      |  |
| 34  | Teruel   | 7.69              | 61.25               | 253.00             | 37.63      |  |
| 35  | Teruel   | 7.71              | 68.13               | 266.16             | 40.41      |  |
| 36  | Alava    | 8.26              | 118.54              | 427.50             | 3.46       |  |
| 37  | Alava    | 8.31              | 123.90              | 422.50             | 11.14      |  |
| 38  | Alava    | 8.08              | 91.40               | 357.50             | 16.93      |  |
| 39  | Alava    | 8.28              | 112.00              | 340.00             | 12.46      |  |
| 40  | Alava    | 8.32              | 90.20               | 437.50             | 10.84      |  |

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 4 Analytical results of 17 soils located inside burns with T. brumale production in natural areas (active carbonate, total carbonate and TOC expressed in  $g kg^{-1}$ )

| No. | Province | $pH_{H2O}$ | Active<br>carbonate | Total<br>carbonate | TOC   |  |
|-----|----------|------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------|--|
| 41  | Alava    | 7.79       | 129.38              | 637.22             | 17.33 |  |
| 42  | Alava    | 8.20       | 148.96              | 705.33             | 14.97 |  |
| 43  | Alava    | 7.73       | 134.38              | 629.84             | 17.99 |  |
| 44  | Alava    | 8.00       | 131.88              | 616.37             | 13.18 |  |
| 45  | Alava    | 7.74       | 131.88              | 756.63             | 21.23 |  |
| 46  | Alava    | 7.70       | 121.88              | 660.37             | 20.79 |  |
| 47  | Alava    | 7.73       | 128.75              | 682.93             | 17.42 |  |
| 48  | Alava    | 7.75       | 134.38              | 656.69             | 19.03 |  |
| 49  | Alava    | 7.86       | 101.88              | 674.24             | 14.89 |  |
| 50  | Alava    | 7.63       | 125.63              | 651.02             | 23.61 |  |
| 51  | Alava    | 7.95       | 139.38              | 676.76             | 14.40 |  |
| 52  | Alava    | 7.62       | 130.00              | 664.29             | 18.19 |  |
| 53  | Alava    | 8.18       | 113.91              | 530.00             | 21.54 |  |
| 54  | Alava    | 8.22       | 115.78              | 472.50             | 21.74 |  |
| 55  | Alava    | 8.19       | 107.16              | 537.50             | 17.73 |  |
| 56  | Alava    | 8.17       | 100.91              | 457.50             | 19.32 |  |
| 57  | Alava    | 8.16       | 107.66              | 497.50             | 19.31 |  |

Table 5 Analytical results of 20 soils located inside burns with T. melanosporum production in natural areas (active carbonate, total carbonate and TOC expressed in g kg−<sup>1</sup> ; García-Montero et al. [2006](#page-6-0), [2008a\)](#page-6-0)

| No. | Province    | pH <sub>H2O</sub> | Active<br>carbonate | Total<br>carbonate | <b>TOC</b> |  |
|-----|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------|--|
| 58  | Guadalajara | 8.35              | 19.53               | 25.00              | 4.89       |  |
| 59  | Guadalajara | 7.73              | 27.50               | 423.69             | 125.60     |  |
| 60  | Guadalajara | 7.54              | 65.00               | 399.34             | 116.90     |  |
| 61  | Guadalajara | 7.90              | 11.25               | 628.23             | 68.10      |  |
| 62  | Guadalajara | 7.90              | 10.63               | 662.32             | 79.00      |  |
| 63  | Guadalajara | 8.03              | 6.88                | 684.24             | 39.70      |  |
| 64  | Guadalajara | 8.08              | 0.00                | 501.61             | 38.50      |  |
| 65  | Guadalajara | 7.97              | 11.88               | 14.61              | 16.40      |  |
| 66  | Guadalajara | 7.90              | 22.50               | 31.17              | 34.03      |  |
| 67  | Guadalajara | 7.88              | 70.10               | 78.80              | 35.62      |  |
| 68  | Guadalajara | 7.50              | 16.25               | 127.88             | 23.02      |  |
| 69  | Guadalajara | 7.90              | 3.75                | 91.82              | 31.52      |  |
| 70  | Guadalajara | 7.80              | 28.75               | 71.90              | 75.24      |  |
| 71  | Guadalajara | 8.15              | 26.25               | 41.76              | 11.58      |  |
| 72  | Guadalajara | 7.90              | 81.40               | 115.00             | 36.07      |  |
| 73  | Guadalajara | 8.15              | 23.75               | 45.27              | 10.80      |  |
| 74  | Guadalajara | 7.90              | 33.75               | 102.09             | 25.51      |  |
| 75  | Guadalajara | 8.07              | 3.75                | 62.77              | 5.25       |  |
| 76  | Guadalajara | 7.95              | 28.75               | 78.14              | 31.10      |  |
| 77  | Guadalajara | 7.10              | 15.00               | 145.63             | 1.00       |  |



Fig. 1 Scree plot of principal components analysis

Identification of the harvested truffles

Macroscopic features of the carpophores and microscopic studies of the morphological characteristics of the ascospores permitted a clear identification of the harvested T. brumale and T. melanosporum truffles following the descriptions and indications proposed by Riousset et al. [\(2001\)](#page-6-0).

# Results

Tables [2,](#page-2-0) [3](#page-2-0), 4 and 5 show the physical–chemical properties of soil samples collected in the natural areas and contaminated T. melanosporum plantations. Many of the soil samples have a moderately basic pH and a very variable percentage of total carbonate and active carbonate concentration. Levels of TOC are moderate.

In the contaminated T. melanosporum plantations, the PCA shows that the first three factors  $(PC_1, PC_2 \text{ and } PC_3)$ accounted for 94.27% of the variance contained in the original matrix (Fig. 1).  $PC_1$  and  $PC_2$  are the components that best explain the interactions between the variables.  $PC<sub>1</sub>$ accounts for 53.41% of the variance. This highlights the differences between soils with a greater quantity of total carbonate and active carbonate versus TOC (Table 6). The

Table 6 Principal components analysis of 40 soils located inside burns with T. brumale and T. melanosporum production in contaminated T. melanosporum plantations: factor loadings

| Variables          | Factor 1<br>$(PC_1)$ | Factor 2<br>(PC <sub>2</sub> ) | Factor 3<br>$(PC_3)$ |
|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| % Active carbonate | $-0.788498$          | $-0.508883$                    | 0.131959             |
| % Total carbonate  | $-0.827594$          | $-0.426789$                    | $-0.161942$          |
| pH                 | $-0.654151$          | 0.564755                       | 0.496169             |
| $%$ TOC            | 0.634011             | $-0.607285$                    | 0.464654             |

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Fig. 2 Relationship between the presence/absence of T. brumale and T. melanosporum production in contaminated T. melanosporum plantations and PCA factors. Samples coded with 1 are soil samples

second factor (PC<sub>2</sub>) represents 28.22% of the variance. PC<sub>2</sub> indicates that it opposes pH and the other variables studied (Table [6\)](#page-3-0). Figure 2 shows different soils according to  $PC<sub>1</sub>$ and  $PC<sub>2</sub>$ . This graph shows how soils from inside the T. brumale truffières have an important correspondence with left semi-axis  $PC<sub>1</sub>$ , related to high active carbonate and total carbonate contents, and soils from inside the T. melanosporum truffières have a significant correspondence with right semi-axis  $PC<sub>1</sub>$ , related to low active carbonate and total carbonate contents.

The ANOVAs indicate that the mean concentration of active carbonate  $(F_{1,38}=13.02; p<0.001)$  and total carbonate  $(F_{1,38} = 8.81; p=0.005)$  differ significantly depending on the location of the soil. In the soils from T. brumale truffières, the content of active and total carbonates is

taken at points with production of T. brumale ascocarps inside the burns, and samples coded with 2 are soil samples taken at points with production of T. melanosporum ascocarps inside the burns

significantly higher than in *T. melanosporum* truffières (Table 7). Nevertheless, the ANOVAs of the pH and TOC indicate that there are no significant differences in the average values of these variables between the soils inside T. brumale truffières versus T. melanosporum truffières in contaminated plantations (Table 7).

The Mann–Whitney  $U$  test shows that significantly higher active carbonate content  $(p<0.001)$  is found in soils from T. brumale truffières than in T. melanosporum truffières (Table 7). The abundance of total carbonate  $(p<0.001)$ shows the same pattern (Table 7); however, the data distribution of total carbonate does not support the assumption of homocedasticity. There are no significant differences in the values of the pH and TOC between the soils inside T. brumale truffières versus T. melanosporum truffières.

Table 7 Analytical results of soils located inside brûlés with T. melanosporum or T. brumale carpophore production in three contaminated plantations without and with calcareous amendments (2,500 kg/ha) and three uncontaminated control plantations

| $N^{\circ}$       | Data<br>Table          | Province      | Study area type          | Carpophore<br>production | $pH_{H2O}$   | Active<br>carbonate | Total<br>carbonate | TOC.          |
|-------------------|------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Mean              | Table 2                | Alava/Teruel  | Contaminated Plantations | Tuber brumale            | 8.16         | 140.57              | 405.14             | 19.95         |
| <b>SD</b><br>Mean | Table 3                | Alava/Teruel  | Contaminated Plantations | Tuber melanosporum       | 0.21<br>8.08 | 29.15<br>104.13     | 80.36<br>315.21    | 5.01<br>20.44 |
| <b>SD</b>         |                        |               |                          |                          | 0.18         | 34.51               | 109.15             | 9.39          |
| Mean              | Table 4                | Alava         | Natural areas            | Tuber brumale            | 7.92         | 123.75              | 618.04             | 18.39         |
| <b>SD</b>         |                        |               |                          | 0.23                     | 13.77        | 86.74               | 2.89               |               |
| Mean              | Table 5<br>Guadalajara | Natural areas | Tuber melanosporum       | 7.89                     | 25.33        | 216.56              | 40.49              |               |
| SD.               |                        |               |                          |                          | 0.27         | 22.44               | 235.17             | 35.31         |

We have monitored the carpophore production of these 4 plantations. After 1 year, we analysed 46 soil samples from T. melanosporum and T. brumale brûlés (active carbonate, total carbonate and TOC expressed in g  $kg^{-1}$ )

#### **Discussion**

Several authors report that the simultaneous production of the carpophores of various truffle species is distributed within the space of the truffières in a clearly defined manner: T. brumale, T. rufum, T. aestivum and T. mesentericum carpophores are frequently collected outside T. melanosporum truffières or in their innermost part. Inside the truffières, a time succession of the various truffle species can also be observed: T. rufum carpophores are the first to be collected, then T. melanosporum and finally T. brumale (Montacchini et al. [1972;](#page-6-0) Falini and Granetti [1998](#page-6-0); Callot [1999;](#page-6-0) Riousset et al. [2001;](#page-6-0) Ricard [2003;](#page-6-0) Granetti et al. [2005](#page-6-0)).

The disappearance of grasses in the brûlés causes modifications in soil surface layers which affect soil organic matter (Lulli et al. [1999](#page-6-0); Castrignano et al. [2000\)](#page-6-0). Callot [\(1999\)](#page-6-0), Ricard [\(2003\)](#page-6-0) and Granetti et al. [\(2005\)](#page-6-0) propose that the time succession of T. brumale in T. melanosporum truffières may be due to the evolution of both the quantity and quality of the organic matter. Our results indicate that in contaminated plantations and natural areas, the soils of T. brumale truffières show lower concentrations of TOC than T. melanosporum soils (Table [7\)](#page-4-0). However, the statistical tests indicate that these differences in the TOC content are not statistically significant. Therefore, as proposed by Callot [\(1999\)](#page-6-0) and Ricard ([2003](#page-6-0)), new studies are required to determine the characteristics and properties of soil organic matter in relation with the competition of T. melanosporum and T. brumale ectomycorrhizas.

The statistical analyses show that the abundance of active carbonate and total carbonate are significantly higher in soils from T. brumale truffières compared to T. melanosporum both in contaminated plantations and natural areas (Figs. 3 and 4). From these results, it could be deduced that liming would not negatively affect the T. brumale ectomycorrhizas in contaminated T. melanosporum cultivations.

Calcareous amendments could otherwise play a significant role in boosting production of both Tuber species. This proposal is in agreement with the first results of an experimental design that we are developing in two T. melanosporum cultures contaminated by T. brumale over a period of 4 years. We are administering calcareous amendments, monitoring the production of carpophores and comparing them with two nearby control cultivations which are uncontaminated by T. brumale and were given no calcareous amendments. The results obtained in the first year show that T. melanosporum production increased by 30–32% and T. brumale production increased by 69–274% in the two cultures with calcareous amendments. However, the two control cultivations show that T. melanosporum production decreased by 18–26% due to the climatic conditions (García-Montero et al., submitted).



Fig. 3 Average of active carbonate (%) values for comparison of T. brumale/T. melanosporum soils from burns in natural areas and contaminated T. melanosporum plantations. Samples coded with Bn are soil samples taken at points with production of T. brumale ascocarps in natural areas; samples coded with Mn are soil samples taken at points with production of T. melanosporum ascocarps in natural areas; samples coded with Bc are soil samples taken at points with production of T. brumale ascocarps in contaminated plantations; samples coded with Mc are soil samples taken at points with production of T. melanosporum ascocarps in contaminated plantations

In summary, the statistical patterns of soil carbonates obtained in the study areas show that T. brumale ectomycorrhizas appear to be closely linked to calcium carbonate, as is T. melanosporum. Therefore, there is no scientific basis to



Fig. 4 Average of total carbonate (%) values for comparison of T. brumale/T. melanosporum soils from burns in natural areas and contaminated T. melanosporum plantations. Samples coded with Bn are soil samples taken at points with production of T. brumale ascocarps in natural areas; samples coded with Mn are soil samples taken at points with production of T. melanosporum ascocarps in natural areas; samples coded with Bc are soil samples taken at points with production of T. brumale ascocarps in contaminated plantations; samples coded with Mc are soil samples taken at points with production of T. melanosporum ascocarps in contaminated plantations

<span id="page-6-0"></span>propose calcareous amendments as a means of eradicating T. brumale in contaminated T. melanosporum orchards.

However, calcareous amendments could increase the production of T. brumale and T. melanosporum carpophores, thereby increasing the profitability of contaminated orchards. Ricard (2003) also suggests the use of calcareous amendments of fine limestone in truffle culture, although he recommends that they should be used with care and in moderation. New studies are required to look into the effects of fine limestone on the biology of T. brumale and T. melanosporum ectomycorrhizas.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Manuel Doñate and Juan María Estrada (Inotruf S.L., Sarrión, Teruel) and Luis María Ibáñez (Alava) for their fieldwork; Paloma Díaz, Cristina Pascual, Margarita, Luis, Miriam and Pablo for their support and Prudence Brooke-Turner for her linguistic assistance. We also thank the Department of Soil Science of the Complutense University in Madrid.

#### References

- AFNOR (1982) Qualité des sols. AFNOR, Paris
- Box G, Cox DR (1964) Analysis of transformations. J Res Stat Soc B 26:211–252
- Callot G (1999) La truffe, la terre, la vie. INRA, Versailles
- Castrignano A, Goovaerts P, Lulli L, Bragato G (2000) A geostatistical approach to estimate probability of occurrence of Tuber melanosporum in relation to some soil properties. Geoderma 98:95–113 doi:[10.1016/S0016-7061\(00\)00054-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061(00)00054-9)
- Chevalier G, Frochot H (1997) La maîtrise de la culture de la truffe. Rev For Fr 49:201–213
- Douet JP, Castroviejo M, Mabru D, Chevalier G, Dupré C, Bergougnoux F, Ricard JM, Médina B (2004) Rapid molecular typing of Tuber melanosporum, T. brumale and T. indicum from tree seedlings and canned truffles. Anal Bioanal Chem 379:668– 673 doi[:10.1007/s00216-004-2643-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00216-004-2643-9)
- Falini LB, Granetti B (1998) Analysis of Tuber melanosporum Vitt. mycorrhizae and of other fungi in a cultivated truffle bed of Corylus colurna L. Micol Ital 27:3–12
- FAO (1990) Guideline for soil description. FAO, Rome
- García-Montero LG, Casermeiro MA, Hernando J, Hernando I (2006) Soil factors that influence the fruiting of Tuber melanosporum (black truffle). Aust J Soil Res 44:1–8 doi:[10.1071/SR06046](http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/SR06046)
- García-Montero LG, Casermeiro MA, Hernando I, Hernando J (2007a) Effect of active carbonate, exchangeable calcium, and stoniness of soil on Tuber melanosporum carpophore production. N Z J Crop Hortic Sci 35:139–146
- García-Montero LG, Manjón JL, Pascual C, García-Abril A (2007b) Ecological patterns of Tuber melanosporum and different Quercus Mediterranean forests: quantitative production of truffles, burn sizes and soil studies. For Ecol Manag 242:288–296
- García-Montero LG, Díaz P, Martín-Fernández S, Casermeiro MA (2008a) Soil factors that favour the production of Tuber melanosporum ascocarps over other truffle species: a multivariate statistical approach. Acta Agric Scand Sect B–Soil Plant Sci 58:322–329
- García-Montero LG, Valverde-Asenjo I, Díaz P, Pascual C (2008b) Statistical patterns of carbonates and total organic carbon on soils of Tuber rufum and T. melanosporum (black truffle) brûlé. Aust J Soil Res 47 (in press)
- Giomaro G, Sisti D, Zambonelli A, Amicucci A, Cecchini M, Comandini O, Stocchi V (2002) Comparative study and molecular characterization of ectomycorrhizas in Tilia americana and Quercus pubescens with Tuber brumale. FEMS Microbiol Lett 216:9–14 doi[:10.1111/j.1574-6968.2002.tb11407.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2002.tb11407.x)
- Granetti B, De Angelis A, Materozzi G (2005) Umbria terra di tartufo. Regione Umbria, Terni
- ISRIC (1995) Procedures for soil analysis. FAO, Wageningen
- Jaillard B, Barry-Etienne D, Diette S, Moundy PJ, Plassard C, Salducci X (2007) Carbonates, matières organiques et pH des sols truffiers. In: Consejería de Medio Ambiente (ed) Proceedings of First Conference on the Conservation and Sustainable Use of Wild Fungi. Junta de Andalucía, Córdoba, pp 75–76
- Lefevre CK, Hall IR (2001) Status of truffle cultivation: a global perspective. Acta Hortic 556:513–520
- Lulli L, Bragato G, Gardin L (1999) Occurrence of Tuber melanosporum in relation to soil surface layer properties and soil differentiation. Plant Soil 214:85–92 doi[:10.1023/A:1004602519974](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1004602519974)
- Mamoun M, Olivier JM (1993a) Competition between Tuber melanosporum and other ectomycorrhizal fungi under two irrigation regimes: I. Competition with T. brumale. Plant Soil 149:211–218 doi[:10.1007/BF00016611](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00016611)
- Mamoun M, Olivier JM (1993b) Competition between Tuber melanosporum and other ectomycorrhizal fungi, under two irrigation regimes: II. Comparison of soils artificially infested with T. melanosporum and T. brumale. Plant Soil 149:219-225 doi[:10.1007/BF00016612](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00016612)
- Marañes A, Sánchez JA, Haro S, Sánchez ST, Lozano FJ (1994) Análisis de Suelos, metodología e Interpretación. Universidad de Almería, Almería
- Montacchini F, Fasolo-Bonfante P, Fontana A (1972) Inibitori naturali della germinazione. Un esempio: Tuber melanosporum Vittad. Informatore Bot Ital 4:156–159
- Olivier JM, Savignac JC, Sourzat P (2002) Truffe et trufficulture. Fanlac, Périgueux
- Paolocci F, Rubini A, Granetti B, Arcioni S (1999) Rapid molecular approach for a reliable identification of Tuber spp. ectomycorrhizae. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 28:23–30 doi[:10.1111/j.1574-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.1999.tb00557.x) [6941.1999.tb00557.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.1999.tb00557.x)
- Ricard JM (2003) La truffe. Guide technique de trufficulture. Centre Technique Interprofessionnel des Fruits et Légumes CTIFL, Paris
- Riousset L, Riousset G, Chevalier G, Bardet MC (2001) Truffes d'Europe et de Chine. Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique INRA, Paris
- Rivas-Martínez S (1987) Memoria del mapa de series de vegetación de España. ICONA, Madrid
- Rubini A, Paolocci F, Granetti B, Arcioni S (1998) Single step molecular characterization of morphologically similar black truffle species. FEMS Microbiol Lett 164:7–12
- Sourzat P (2005) Pressure of contamination mycorrhizal fungi against black truffle in spontaneous and cultivated truffieres in France. In: Honrubia M, Morte A, Torrente P (eds) Abstracts of the Fourth International Workshop on Edible Mycorrhizal Mushroom. Universidad de Murcia, Murcia, p 116
- Verlhac A, Giraud M, Leteinturier J (1990) La truffe guide pratique. Centre technique interprofessionnel des fruits et légumes CTIFL, Paris